

545oneDrive2_00001357

EPAct Program Update for Chet France

January 23, 2008

Preliminary information – not for release outside EPA

Light Duty Exhaust Program Overview

- EPA/DOE collaboration
- Objective: Establish effects of RVP, T50, T90, aromatic content and EtOH on exhaust emissions from Tier 2 vehicles
- Fuel matrix includes 29 fuels + 2 added by CRC = total of 31
- Test Program Design
 - Phase 1: RFS 2 Pilot at 75°F
 - 3 fuels (E0, E10 and E15) tested in 19 vehicles
 - Test results to be available for RFS 2 NPRM
 - Phase 2: RFS 2 Pilot at 50°F
 - Same as Phase 1, except temperature
 - Phase 3: Main Program
 - 27 fuels tested in 19 Tier 2 vehicles, E85 tested in 4 FFVs
- LA92 test cycle used throughout the program
- Species measured: Regulated emissions, CO₂, NO₂, VOCs, ethanol, carbonyl compounds
 - N₂O, NH₃ and HCN by FTIR
 - Some PM and SVOC speciation

Status of Testing

- Phase 1 testing complete
 - 75F testing of 19 vehicles on 3 fuels (E0, E10, E15)
 - Data was received by EPA, briefing materials were presented on primary findings
- Interim FTP-cycle testing complete
 - 75F testing of 6 vehicles on 3 fuels (E0, E10, E15)
 - Data was received by EPA, this briefing contains primary findings
- Phase 2 testing underway
 - 50F testing of 19 vehicles on 3 fuels (E0, E10, E15)
 - Fuel 17 and 18 testing were recently completed
 - Fuel 19 testing has begun, to be completed by 2/6
 - Data is being processed at SWRI and here
- Phase 3 testing expected to begin mid-February

Revised EPAAct Fuel Matrix

Phase 3
Base Program (EPA)
→ (Fuels 1-16)

Phases 1 and 2
RFS 2 Subset (EPA/DOE)
→ (Fuels 17-19)

Phase 3
Additional Fuels (DOE)
→ (Fuels 20-29)

E85 (DOE) →
CRC Additional Fuels →

Fuel #	T50	T90	ETOH	RVP	ARO
	°F	°F	%	psi	%
1	150	300	10	10	15
2	240	340	0	10	15
3	220	300	10	7	15
4	220	340	10	10	15
5	240	300	0	7	40
6	190	340	10	7	15
7	190	300	0	7	15
8	220	300	0	10	15
9	190	340	0	10	40
10	220	340	10	7	40
11	190	300	10	10	40
12	150	340	10	10	40
13	220	340	0	7	40
14	190	340	0	7	15
15	190	300	0	10	40
16	220	300	10	7	40
17	215	325	0	9	30
18	202	325	10	9	25
19	195	325	15	9	23
20	160	300	20	7	15
21	160	300	20	7	40
22	160	300	20	10	15
23	160	340	20	7	15
24	160	340	20	10	15
25	160	340	20	10	40
26	150	340	15	10	40
27	190	340	15	7	15
28	190	300	15	7	40
29	TBD	TBD	85	TBD	TBD
30	150	325	10	10	40
31	160	325	20	10	15



Revised Fuels

Fuel Blending Is On-Schedule

- Test fuel development being done cooperatively by Haltermann and ASD
 - EPA defines fuel recipes
 - Haltermann prepares hand blends, bulk blends and performs fuel analyses
- 16 of the 28 fuels needed in Phase 3 have been or are being blended in bulk
 - 8 have been delivered to SWRI
 - E85 fuel will be obtained from CRC
- The remaining 12 fuels are in hand blend stage
- We expect to have all fuels blended in bulk by mid-February
- This will allow randomization of fuels for Phase 3, as planned



Preliminary Findings on Effect of Test Cycle - NOx

- Results suggest no significant NOx effect or interaction in the composite
- Only significant finding was in Bag 1:
 - LA92 > FTP on E0
- Note: Statistical significance in these slides is $p < 0.05$ level (highlighted in red circles)





Preliminary Findings on Effect of Test Cycle - NMHC

- Results suggest no significant NMHC effect or interaction in the composite
- Some significant effects were seen in Bags 1 & 3:
 - Bag 1: LA92 > FTP for both levels of ethanol
 - Bag 3: LA92 > FTP for E0





Preliminary Findings on Effect of Test Cycle - CO

- Results suggest no significant CO effect or interaction in the composite
- Some significant effects seen elsewhere:
 - Bag 1: LA92 > FTP for both levels of ethanol
 - Bag 1: E0 > E10 for both cycles
 - Bag 2: LA92 > FTP for both levels of ethanol
 - Bag 3: E0 > E10 for LA92
 - Bag 3: LA92 > FTP for E10





Preliminary Findings on Effect of Test Cycle - PM

- Significant cycle effects in composite, with ethanol interaction:
 - LA92 > FTP for E0
- Significant cycle effects in Bags 1 & 3:
 - Bag 1: LA92 > FTP for both ethanol levels
 - Bag 3: LA92 > FTP for both ethanol levels




Preliminary Findings on Effect of Test Cycle - FE

- Significant cycle and ethanol effects in composite, with some interaction:
 - FTP > LA92 at both ethanol levels
 - E0 > E10 for both cycles
- Lots of ethanol-cycle interactions in individual bags
- Two interesting findings:
 - In all bags, FTP appears to highlight ethanol FE difference more than LA92
 - In Bag 2, LA92 appears to have equal or slightly better FE than FTP (reverse of other bags & composite)



A Few Words About the Cycle Results

- What question were we trying to answer with this FTP testing?
 - “Were the effects of ethanol seen in Phase 1 a result of Tier 2 vehicles actually behaving differently from older vehicles, or just an artifact of the LA92 test cycle we chose?”
- Did we answer this? What were we looking for in the data?
 - The means appear to suggest E10 may have more favorable effects on cold start emissions with LA92, but deltas are not statistically significant
 - Thus, for now we conclude test cycle was not (highly) influential on results
- Conclusions about test cycle effects were more tenuous than conclusions drawn in Phase 1 about ethanol effects in general, because only six vehicles were tested on FTP cycle






Air Toxics Summary: Phase I and Test Cycle Effects

Phase I Results:

- Overall, emission factors for air toxics are very low.
- Trends for some individual toxics are as expected:
 - Acetaldehyde and ethanol emissions increase with increasing ethanol in fuel.
- Fuel content irregularities obscure trends for some VOCs, including benzene. This will impact Phase II results as well.

Test Cycle Effects:


- Bag 1 data only: Nothing unexpected in trends for individual toxics.
- Cannot conclude that test cycle has an effect.




Preliminary Findings on Effect of Test Temperature

- As expected, lower temperature caused emission increases in most cases
 - Red circles indicate significant differences
- E10 & E15 data still being collected


PM by Bag (Ph) and Temperature



NMHC by Bag (Ph) and Temperature



NOx by Bag (Ph) and Temperature



Budget Considerations Going Forward

- Original program cost estimate: \$4,271,000
- Current cost overrun wrt the original scope of program: **Ex. 4 - CBI**
- Cost overrun including additional projects: **Ex. 4 - CBI**
- ASD staff have worked hard with SwRI to reduce costs while still keeping the program intact

Program or Project	Cost	Cumulative Cost	Difference of Total From the Original Estimate of \$4,271,000	
EPAct Program, April 2008 Cost Estimate	\$ 4,271,000	-	-	-
EPAct Program, January 2009 Cost Estimate	\$ 4,698,100	EX. 4 - CBI		
Fuel Cost Adjustment	EX. 4 - CBI			
FTP Testing (Partially Completed)				
EFM Resolution (Completed)				
Fuel Matrix Redesign (Completed)				
Blending of Two CRC Fuels				
Emission Testing of Two CRC Fuels				
ORIGINAL PROGRAM				
ADDITIONAL PROJECTS				

Projected Schedule Going Forward

- Launch of Phase 3 testing: Mid-February 2009
- Completion of Phase 3 testing: Early December 2009
- Reporting: December 2009 – mid-March 2010

	JAN 2009	FEB 2009	MAR 2009	APR 2009	MAY 2009	JUN 2009	JUL 2009	AUG 2009	SEP 2009	OCT 2009	NOV 2009	DEC 2009																								
Phase 1 ^a	5	12	19	26	2	9	16	23	30	6	13	20	27	3	10	17	24	31	7	14	21	28	5	12	19	26	2	9	16	23	30	7	14	21	28	
50F setup																																				
Phase 2 ^b																																				
50F teardown																																				
Phase 3 ^a																																				
NREL fuels ^a																																				
CRC fuels																																				
NREL high emitter																																				
draft final report																																				
EPA/NREL review																																				
final report																																				

	JAN 2010	FEB 2010	MAR 2010	APR 2010	MAY 2010	JUN 2010	JUL 2010	AUG 2010	SEP 2010	OCT 2010	NOV 2010	DEC 2010																								
Phase 1 ^a	5	12	19	26	2	9	16	23	30	6	13	20	27	4	11	18	25	1	8	15	22	29	6	13	20	27	3	10	17	24	31	7	14	21	28	
50F setup																																				
Phase 2 ^b																																				
50F teardown																																				
Phase 3 ^a																																				
NREL fuels ^a																																				
CRC fuels																																				
NREL high emitter																																				
draft final report																																				
EPANREL review																																				
final report																																				

Summary of Next Steps

- Complete analysis of FTP cycle effect
 - E15 data is still pending
- Complete Phase 2 testing
 - Analyze and present results for E10 and E15 fuels
- Complete fuel blending
- Perform Phase 3 testing

Additional Slides

Measured Species

- Bag (phase) level and composite emissions of THC, NMHC, NMOG, CO, CO₂, NO_x, NO₂, ethanol and PM
- Bag (phase) level speciated volatile organic compounds (VOCs)
 - Over 200 compounds, incl. alcohols and carbonyls
- Continuous and integrated by bag (phase) emissions of the following species in raw exhaust:
 - THC, NMHC, CO, CO₂, NO_x
 - N₂O, NH₃ and HCN by FTIR for a subset of tests
- Semi-volatile and high molecular weight VOC and PM measured in Phases 1 and 2 only

EPAct Vehicles vs. Tier 2 Emission Standards

EPAct Vehicle	Tier 2 Bin #	NMOG g/mile	CO g/mile	NOx g/mile	PM g/mile
Ford Focus, Ford Explorer	4	0.070	2.1	0.04	0.01
All other EPAct vehicles	5	0.075	3.4	0.05	0.01
Ford F150, Dodge Caravan	8	0.100	3.4	0.14	0.02

E10 Impacts on Emissions from Tier 2 Vehicles

From EPAAct Phase 1, 19 Vehicles, Paired t-test, $p < 0.05$

Pollutant	Percent Change vs. E0			
	Weighted	Bag 1	Bag 2	Bag 3
NOx	-	-24.8	-	-
THC	-	-6.9	-	-31.2
CO	-17.6	-	-19.9	-41.9
PM	-20.8 ($0.1 < p < 0.05$)	-	-24.8	44.7

E10 Impacts on Emissions from Tier 2 Vehicles


From CRC E-74b Program (7 Vehicles, Mixed Model, $p < 0.05$)

Pollutant	Percent Change vs. E0			
	Weighted	Bag 1	Bag 2	Bag 3
NOx	-	-	-	-
NMHC	-12.9 (0.1 < p < 0.05)	-	-	-
CO	-22.4	-22.4	-	-
CO ₂	-	-	-	-

Test Fuel Properties

PROPERTY	UNIT	METHOD	FUEL		
			E0	E10	E15
Ethanol Content	vol. %	D5599	<0.1	9.35	14.5
T50	°F	D86	215	209	182
T90	°F	D86	324	319	310
RVP	psi	D5191	9.17	9.05	8.91
Aromatics	vol. %	D1319	29.3	22.9	18.7
Olefins	vol. %	D1319	6.4	5.7	5.6
Benzene	vol. %	D3606	0.48	0.49	0.46
S	mg/kg	D5453	23	23	21
RON	-	D2699	93.4	93.7	93.9
MON	-	D2700	83.5	84.9	84.6
(R + M)/2	-	Calc.	88.5	89.3	89.2

Modal NOx Comparison – FTP vs. LA92



Modal NOx Comparison – FTP vs. LA92

Bag 1 NOx - Camry, Fuel 18

